



Emergency Load Response and Emergency Energy Polling Results

Market Settlements Subcommittee
August 20, 2014

- Purpose of Poll is to assist in the determining if the Subcommittee supports the proposed change
- PJM CBIR – Polling is not “Voting”
- Responders requested to indicate support of:
 - Including Load Reconciliation in the Emergency Load Response and Emergency Energy Billing calculation
 - The proposed change or status quo
- 99 responses received




Settlement of Emergency Load Response and Emergency Energy

Question # 1: Can you support the proposed inclusion of Load Reconciliation data in the allocation of Emergency Energy Charges/Credits and Emergency Load Response Charges?


- Emergency Energy Charges/Credits:
 - Recalculate participants' real-time net interchange to consider Load Reconciliation data
 - Adjust original charge/credit allocation using recalculated interchange 2 months after original billing
 - Load Reconciliation would change the total deviations and therefore impact all participants
- Emergency Load Response Charges:
 - Calculate participants' real-time net interchange to consider Load Reconciliation data
 - No impact to existing bill timing

Question # 2: Do you support making the proposed change or do you support Status Quo?

Can you support the proposed inclusion of Load Reconciliation data in the allocation of Emergency Energy Charges/Credits and Emergency Load Response Charges?



Do you support making the proposed change or do you support Status Quo?



- Majority support for the proposed change
- Discuss PJM proposal
- PJM to conduct impact assessment and identify governing document changes