



Data Confidentiality Clarifications

Thomas Zadlo
Team Lead, Market Analysis
Markets and Reliability Committee
July 23, 2015

Current Confidentiality Rules in Manual 33 Section 3.5 state aggregate market data may only be disclosed if:

- A. More than three (3) market participants' data in a particular category is being aggregated for posting. For example, if the data being considered for posting is load data, more than three (3) Load-Serving Entities' data must be aggregated; and
- B. The data to be posted is aggregated over a geographic area no smaller than a PJM transmission zone.

PJM may not disclose confidential data even if it has been released in the public domain.

The rules as they currently exist serve as a good general guideline, but limit PJM's ability to share information with stakeholders and other interested parties. PJM is unable to be more specific about conditions surrounding weather events, closed-loop interfaces, transmission planning, etc.

Areas PJM would like to clarify:

1. Designated Network Resources (i.e. generators that cleared in the RPM Capacity Market) are posted only at the start of the delivery year in accordance with regulations.

Areas PJM would like to clarify:

2. Demand Response supply offered in small areas. Often it is helpful for stakeholders to know the amount of DR offered in a small area (such as a group of zip codes) in relation to weather events, closed loop interfaces, etc. This is currently restricted by M33.

Areas PJM would like to clarify:

3. Generator outages after the outage has concluded – PJM has generally kept generator outage data confidential. However it is often important to be able to discuss a generator outage in the face of a system event.

Areas PJM would like to clarify:

4. Confidential data that is already in the public domain (reported or revealed by another party) is still considered confidential by PJM and may not be discussed under current practices. This leads to cases where PJM is unable to discuss a subject that is already widely known.

Areas PJM would like to clarify:

5. Uplift data – In general, and particularly during severe system events (weather events, etc.) stakeholders have frequently expressed interest in more granular uplift data.

The MRC may chose to :

- Assign this item to a new senior task force
- Work the issue itself
- Assign the issue to the MIC or OC
- Assign the issue to the EMUSTF

Appendix:

PJM believes this is a sufficiently problem problem and therefore is bringing forward a proposed solution with the Problem Statement/Issue Charge in accordance with Section 11.2 of Manual 34.

Draft Manual language has been posted with the agenda items for this meeting, effectively adding language permitting the disclosures mentioned above.