



MISO/PJM Queue Coordination

MRC

January 22, 2015

Aaron Berner

Manager, Interconnection Analysis

- Very recent improvements being made under new coordination efforts however some studies taking up to or over a year to complete remain a concern
- Identification of required upgrades for the PJM customers after they have entered into the Facilities Study Phase is inefficient, potentially wasteful, and introduces uncertainty into the PJM process

- Study phase is intended to be preliminary engineering and not power flow analysis
- Some upgrades with potential links to MISO cannot begin until MISO study is completed
- Other upgrades may be modified based on MISO constraints identified (common fixes along seam)

- New requests will be delayed without moving the MISO analysis into alignment with the PJM analysis
- Performing MISO affected system analysis in conjunction with PJM SIS can cause cascading restudies on the MISO queue
 - MISO may have to perform additional affected system re-studies for withdrawal of PJM projects that do not execute an FSA (normal process for both MISO and PJM under current coordination efforts should a project drop out of the queue)



PJM Proposal agreed to by MISO/PJM JCM Stakeholders

- Require MISO analysis to begin to occur in conjunction with the PJM System Impact Study analysis
- Any overlapping reinforcement requirements will be discussed and addressed during the study process
 - Reinforcements which are confirmed to overlap will be combined into a single reinforcement