IMM Regulation Market Recommendations: Alternative Proposal

MRC/MC July 26, 2012

Joseph Bowring Howard J Haas



Majority Proposal

- Shoulder Hour LOC:
 - Shoulder Hour LOC should recovered.
 - Shoulder Hour LOC should be recovered via safety net if net regulation revenues are not sufficient to cover them
 - Shoulder Hour LOC should not be part of clearing price.
 - Cannot properly attribute to multiple hour case
 - Cannot be fully calculated for commitment until after the fact
- Marginal Benefits Factor for Settlement
- Benefits Factor should be consistently applied throughout the market construct

- Complete the consistent application of offer modifiers and units of measure throughout the market construct (as suggested for the benefits factor in the Majority Proposal).
- Make the components of the regulation prices reflect the offers of marginal resources.

©2009

- Total Cost = A + B
 - Where
 - A = Total Capacity Cost
 - B = Total Cost of Performance
 - Properly defined Total Cost, can then be used to define:
 - Total Cost/MW = \$/MW
 - Total Cost/Effective MW = \$/Effective MW
 - Total Cost/Mile = \$/Mile

- Results in performance price that reflects marginal resource (\$/MW)
- Provides regulation at a cost reflective of actual offers to provide service
- Consistent with 755 Requirements (capability and performance offers and capability and performance payments)
- Rank ordering of resources consistent with relative actual costs to system
- Provides consistent application of scalars in pricing and settlement. (miles, MW, benefits factor, performance scores)

Alternative Proposal

- All of "Majority" Items, plus
- Item 1: Use actual cost for relative offers.
 - Expected and actual cost per MW or effective MW should be used consistently for clearing and within hour pricing of performance.
 - (Remove performance factor from denominator of performance component.)
- Item 2: Capacity credits (awarded per MW) should be adjusted by performance.
 - Consistent application of performance factor in pricing and credits.
 - Same logic as consistent application of the benefits factor.

Alternative Proposal, Item 1: Use Actual Cost

Actual cost of provided miles:

TotalPerformanceCost = (\$ / Mile) * (Miles / MW) * Performance% * MW

IMM and PJM proposal (Alternative):

TotalPerformanceCost = (\$ / Mile) * (Miles / MW) * Performance% * MW

Current Proposal (Majority):

 $Total Performance Cost = (\$ / Mile) * \frac{(Miles / MW)}{Performance \%} * MW$

- Majority multiplies MW by (1/performance).
- Results in low performers credited with more miles (per MW) than good performers
 - Calculated performance cost would exceed actual performance cost

Alternative Proposal, Item 1: Correct Performance Cost Calculation

Example	Case 1	Case 2
Capability MW	2	2
\$/Mile	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00
Signal Miles/MW	2	2
Performance	100%	50%
Actual Miles	Case 1	Case 2
Miles = Signal Miles/MW * Capability MW * Performance	4	2
Performance Cost = \$/mile * Actual Miles	\$ 4.00	\$ 2.00
Option 2 Proposal	Case 1	Case 2
Miles = Signal Miles/MW * Capability MW * Performance	4	2
Performance Offer = \$/mile * Actual Miles	\$ 4.00	\$ 2.00
Option 1 Proposal	Case 1	Case 2
Miles = (Signal Miles/MW * Capability MW)/(Performance)	₄ 4	₇ 8
Performance Offer = \$/mile * Actual Miles	\$ 4.00	\$ 8.00

Poorer performance = More Miles?



Alternative Proposal, Item 2: Capacity Credits Should Be Adjusted by Performance

Charge:

If applied here

CapabilityCh arg
$$e = \frac{(\$/MW)}{BF*Performance\%} * CapMW$$

Majority Credit:

Performance scalar

CapabilityCredit = CapabilityRMCP(\$/MW)*capMW*BF

Alternative Credit:

Must apply here

No scalar

CapabilityCredit = CapabilityRMCP(\$/MW)*capMW*BF*Performance%

Same logic as uniform application of benefit factor (BF)

- Price components better reflect actual offers
- Market prices better reflect marginal offers
- Market provides a clearer signal regarding the incremental cost to provide service
- Payments to marginal resources do not exceed marginal resource offers
- Rank ordering of resources consistent with relative actual offers and costs to system.
- Consistent application of scalar adjustments to prices and settlement.
 - Majority Proposal does not completely correct the inconsistencies

Monitoring Analytics, LLC 2621 Van Buren Avenue Suite 160 Eagleville, PA 19403

(610) 271-8050

MA@monitoringanalytics.com

www.MonitoringAnalytics.com

