Unit Specific MOPR for OOM Arrangements

Dr. Roy J. Shanker On Behalf of the PSEG Companies CSTF February 14, 2014

Objective: Best Indicator of Unit MOPR-Competitive Award

- OOM Agreements of concern typically awarded via competitive process
- Participants in such competitions have strong incentive to "reveal" their true costs to gain award
- This should be starting point for unit MOPR
- Similarly, representations of costs after award are suspect: The objective of the OOM is to make the supplier indifferent to market results so after-the-fact there is no incentive to accurately display costs.

Objective: Indicator of Unit MOPR – Competitive Award

- OOM payments may also be output of regulatory review and approval, e.g. state administrative proceeding
- Again, would expect cost reviews ex ante to regulators to be best representation of "true" costs
- Therefore, should rely on these representations as starting point of unit specific MOPR

How Should This Information be Used?

- General approach would be in several steps
 - Isolate the capacity component of the OOM facility (contract payments linked to capacity or inferred to be capacity related)
 - Determine cost of funds advantage associated with reduced risk of OOM payments: Non-bypassable recovery; rate base
 - Increase capacity component to reflect market based cost of funds
 - Calculate "adjusted" gross CONE, net CONE, and unit MOPR value

Isolate Capacity Component

- May be directly transparent or need to be "extracted"
- E.G. If separate capacity payment and buyer pays all fuel and O&M and receives all energy and ancillary payments, then the capacity payment stream is gross CONE.
- E.G. If above, but seller gets all E&AS margin, then payment is indicative of net CONE
- Other arrangements e.g. single payment for energy, can be decomposed using IMM/PJM procedure for E&AS estimates
- Above market energy payments attributed to capacity

Determine Cost of Funds Advantage

- OOM Agreements backed by state, non-bypassable surcharges etc. are much lower risk and have much lower cost of funds; likely lower than intermediate counterparty, e.g. distribution company.
- Several options to estimate cost, e.g. cost of debt only to supporting entity (state)
- Can use this information to determine implied cost of funds

Cost of Funds Advantage

- For market cost of funds use result of stakeholder process, PJM and IMM review for reference unit
- The advantage is represented by difference

Adjust Capacity Payments for Advantage

- Establish present value of payment stream using advantaged rate
- Convert to nominal levelized stream using market rate
- Adjusted payment stream using market rate is the unit specific MOPR