

Market Seller Offer Cap Balancing Ratio Issue

Patrick Bruno
Capacity Market Operations
Market Implementation Committee
February 7, 2018

www.pjm.com PJM©2018



Overview of the Issue

- The current rules set the default Market Seller Offer Cap ("MSOC") for Capacity Performance ("CP") Resources equal to the applicable Net CONE times the average historical Balancing Ratios experienced during Performance Assessment *Intervals* during the three calendar years that immediately precede the Base Residual Auction ("BRA") for the Delivery Year
 - Balancing Ratio will be indeterminable for the default MSOC when no Performance Assessment Intervals have occurred over the prior three calendar years
 - The final Balancing Ratios for any Performance Assessment Intervals occurring at the end of the most recent calendar year may not be known in time to post the default MSOC values before the documentation is required for resource-specific MSOCs due 120 days before the BRA (mid-January)
- The current assumed number of Performance Assessment Hours (30) in the Non-Performance Charge Rate calculation should be discussed; No Performance Assessments Hours have occurred since CP was implemented



- 1. Provide education on the calculation of the MSOC and Balancing Ratio
- 2. Provide education on the determination of Non-Performance Charge Rates
- Develop and discuss alternative Balancing Ratio calculation methodologies for use in the determination of the default MSOC
- 4. Develop and discuss alternative methods to determine the Non-Performance Charge Rate



CP default MSOC = Net CONE x Balancing Ratio (B')

Where:

- Net CONE is the Net Cost of New Entry (stated in \$/MW-Day, ICAP terms) for the relevant Delivery Year and zone in which the resource is located
- Balancing Ratio (B') is the historical average of the Balancing Ratios experienced during Performance Assessment Intervals/Hours in the three most recent calendar years preceding the Base Residual Auction for such Delivery Year
 - Represents the expected Balancing Ratio across all Performance Assessment Intervals/Hours for a Delivery Year
- CP default MSOC is expressed in \$/MW-Day



Historical RTO Performance Assessment Hours



Note: Hours shown prior to 2016/2017 reflect Emergency Actions that would have triggered a Performance Assessment Hour under the CP rules



Historical MSOC Balancing Ratios

Average Balancing Ratios calculated for use in the default MSOC by Delivery Year

Delivery Year	MSOC Balancing Ratio	
2018/2019	85.0%	
2019/2020	81.0%	
2020/2021	78.5%	
2021/2022	78.5% *	

^{* 2021/2022} Balancing Ratio in the MSOC set to the same value as prior Delivery Year due to absence of Performance Assessment Hours in prior three calendar years (2015 - 2017), as approved by FERC

 A list of the underlying Performance Assessment Hours and corresponding Balancing Ratios used to determine the above averages are included in Appendix 2 of PJM's response to FERC on April 10, 2015 in Docket No. ER15-623-001



Balancing Ratio in Performance Assessment Intervals

- The calculated Balancing Ratio for a Performance Assessment Interval represents the percentage share of total generation capacity commitments needed to support the load and reserves on the system within the Emergency Action Area during the interval
 - i.e. (Load + Reserves) / Generation Capacity Commitments
- The Balancing Ratio is used to set the Expected Performance level of Generation Capacity Performance Resources within the Emergency Action Area during the Performance Assessment Interval
 - Expected Performance = Capacity Commitment (UCAP) x Balancing Ratio

Total Actual Generation and Storage Performance + Net Energy Imports * + Demand Response Bonus Performance

All Generation and Storage Committed UCAP



- 1. Provide education on the calculation of the MSOC and Balancing Ratio
- 2. Provide education on the determination of Non-Performance Charge Rates
- 3. Develop and discuss alternative Balancing Ratio calculation methodologies for use in the determination of the default MSOC
- Develop and discuss alternative methods to determine the Non-Performance Charge Rate



Non-Perf. Charge Rate* = Net CONE x 365 days / 30 hours

Where:

- Net CONE is the Net Cost of New Entry (stated in \$/MW-Day, ICAP terms) for the relevant Delivery Year and LDA in which the resource is modeled
- 30 hours is the estimated number of Performance Assessment Hours that may occur in a Delivery Year
 - Based on Emergency Action hours seen during 2013/2014
- Non-Performance Charge Rate is expressed in \$/MWh to be multiplied by a unit's Performance Shortfall to calculate the assessed penalty charges

* Charge Rate does not reflect the filed change with 5-minute Settlements, which further divides the rate by the number of Real-Time Settlement Intervals in an hour



Non-Performance Charge Rates

LDA	18/19 Non-Performance Charge Rate (\$/MWh)	19/20 Non-Performance Charge Rate (\$/MWh)	20/21 Non-Performance Charge Rate (\$/MWh)
RTO	\$3,424.80	\$3,401.17	\$3,329.31
MAAC	\$3,095.44	\$2,977.55	\$2,868.54
EMAAC	\$3,245.22	\$3,223.07	\$3,217.35
SWMAAC	\$2,770.72	\$2,612.79	\$2,300.60
PSEG	\$3,395.35	\$3,446.56	\$3,488.06
PS-NORTH	\$3,395.35	\$3,446.56	\$3,488.06
DPL-SOUTH	\$2,943.36	\$2,980.31	\$2,897.73
PEPCO	\$2,856.98	\$2,775.37	\$2,574.50
ATSI	\$3,096.05	\$3,000.64	\$2,968.21
ATSI-CLEVELAND	\$3,096.05	\$3,000.64	\$2,968.21
COMED	\$3,649.39	\$3,732.33	\$3,748.21
BGE	\$2,684.33	\$2,450.29	\$2,026.74
PPL	\$3,244.97	\$3,156.12	\$3,038.16
DAYTON			\$3,104.21
DEOK			\$3,210.14

www.pjm.com 10 PJM©2018



Annual Stop-Loss of Non-Performance Charges

Stop-Loss = Net CONE x 365 days x 1.5 x Committed MW

Where:

- Net CONE is the Net Cost of New Entry (stated in \$/MW-Day, ICAP) terms) for the relevant Delivery Year and modeled LDA in which the resource resides
- Committed MW is the resource's capacity commitment in UCAP
- Based on the maximum clearing price allowed by the VRR curve at Net CONE times 1.5
- At 30 assumed Performance Assessment Hours in the Non-Performance Charge Rate, a resource will hit the stop-loss after 45 hours of zero Actual Performance



Appendix

www.pjm.com PJM©2018



- The default MSOC reflects the amount that a competitive resource with low net going forward costs (Low ACR Resource) would accept in the capacity market
 - A Low ACR Resource is one whose net avoidable costs are less than its total expected Bonus Performance payments as an energy-only resource
 - Represents the lost opportunity costs incurred by taking on a capacity obligation and foregoing some expected Bonus Performance payments
- The Balancing Ratio (B') is a component of the default MSOC calculation to reflect the percentage share of expected Bonus Performance payments that are foregone by taking on a capacity obligation
 - A resource will receive Bonus Payments for its production that exceeds the Balancing Ratio share of its capacity obligation during Performance Assessment Intervals/Hours regardless of it having a capacity obligation

Note: A resource with high net going forward costs that exceed expected Bonus Performance payments can go through the resource-specific MSOC process for a higher CP offer cap



CP Default MSOC – Example

	Capacity Resource	Energy-Only
Nameplate (MW)	100	100
Capacity Obligation (UCAP MW)	100	0
Net CONE (\$/MW-day)	\$250	\$250
Balancing Ratio (B')	0.9	0.9
Actual Performance (A')	100	100
Expected Performance (MW)	90	-
Bonus Performance (MW)	10	100
Bonus Rate (\$/MWh)	\$3,042	\$3,042
Bonus Performance Hours	30	30
Annual Bonus Performance (\$/year)	\$912,500	\$9,125,000
Foregone Bonus Performance (\$/year)	\$8,212,500	-
Lost Opportunity Cost (\$/MW-day)	\$225	-
Default MSOC of Net CONE x B' (\$/MW-day)	\$225	-

14 PJM©2018



$p = PPR \times H \times B' + max\{0, (ACR - PPR \times H \times A')\}$

Where:

- p: Offer price in RPM on a UCAP basis (\$/MW-year)
- PPR: Non-Performance Charge Rate (\$/MWh)
 - Assumed to be equivalent to the Bonus Performance Rate
- H: Expected number of Performance Assessment Hours in the year (hours/year)
- B': Expected value of balancing ratio across all Performance Assessment Hours in year
- ACR: Net ACR (net going forward costs) for a resource (\$/MW-year)
- A': Expected value of availability across all Performance Assessment Hours in year

Note: The full overview and explanation of the Capacity Performance Offer Cap Logic can be found in Appendix 1 of PJM's April 10, 2015 response to FERC in Docket No. ER15-623-001



CP Competitive Offer for Low ACR Resource

Low ACR Resource is one whose net avoidable costs are less than its total expected Bonus Performance payments as an energy-only resource

- Second term of competitive offer drops to zero
- PPR substituted with Non-Performance Charge Rate

```
p_{(\$/MW-year)} = PPR \times H \times B' + max\{0, (ACR - PPR \times H \times A')\}
p_{(\$/MW-year)} = (Net CONE \times 365 / H) \times H \times B'
p_{(\$/MW-year)} = Net CONE \times 365 \times B'
p_{(\$/MW-day)} = Net CONE \times B' \longrightarrow CP default MSOC
```



CP Competitive Offer for High ACR Resource

High ACR Resource is one whose net avoidable costs are greater than its total expected Bonus Performance payments as an energy-only resource

- Second term of competitive offer remains greater than zero
- PPR substituted with Non-Performance Charge Rate
- Competitive offer dependent on unit-specific ACR and expected resource performance compared to B', requiring a unit-specific review of its MSOC
 - An appropriate unit-specific risk premium may also be included in the unit-specific review

```
\begin{array}{ll} p_{(\$/MW-year)} &= PPR \ x \ H \ x \ B' + (ACR - PPR \ x \ H \ x \ A') \\ p_{(\$/MW-year)} &= ACR + PPR \ x \ H \ x \ (B' - A') \\ p_{(\$/MW-day)} &= ACR + (Net \ CONE \ x \ 365 \ / \ H) \ x \ H \ x \ (B' - A') \end{array}
```